

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,275	07/17/2003	William Nelson Davis	XP-1128	3036
6858 7590 04/06/2010 BREINER & BREINER, L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320160			FABER, DAVID	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-0160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	
2	RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
3	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
4	
5	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
6 7	AND INTERFERENCES
8	
9	Ex parte WILLIAM NELSON DAVIS
10	and STEVEN JOHN KUHLMAN
11	
12	
13	Appeal 2009-009743
14	Application 10/621,275
15	Technology Center 2100
16	
17	
18	Oral Hearing Held: March 16, 2010
19	
20	
21	Before LANCE LEONARD BARRY, JAY P. LUCAS, and
22	CAROLYN D. THOMAS Administrative Patent Judges
23	v
24	
	ON DEVIA E OF THE ADDRESS AND
25	ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANTS:
26	
27	Mary J. Breiner, Esq.
28	Breiner & Breiner, LLC
29	115 North Henry Street
30	Alexandria, Virginia 22320-0160
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	

1	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, March 16,
2	2010, commencing at 9:07 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600
3	Dulany Street, 9th Floor, Hearing Room A, Alexandria, Virginia, before Jon
4	Hundley.
5	THE USHER: Good morning, Calendar Number 53: Appeal
6	Number 2009-009743, Ms. Breiner.
7	MS. BREINER: Thank you.
8	JUDGE BARRY: Mr. court reporter, I'll say we're on the
9	record, we're off the record, and give you the cue.
10	Ms. Breiner, you have 20 minutes, and we'll go ahead and use the
11	timer, assuming that's working. We are on the record.
12	MS. BREINER: Good morning. May it please the Board, we
13	are here today dealing with claims that are directed to a method and system
14	for a distribution of fonts by rental. They specifically require accessing
15	certain subscription information, and based on that providing for a
16	predetermined time period as well as access. And this is tracked, so that upon
17	the expiration the fonts that are provided are disabled.
18	All the rejections are under Section 103 and rely on two
19	references, Ying and Hayes. Neither one of these provide for the rental. Ying
20	is directed to the outright purchase of fonts. They teach the conventional
21	purchase where you select it, stick it in the cart and you purchase it. The
22	Examiner acknowledges this. He acknowledges that it doesn't teach tracking
23	and disablement.
24	He also acknowledges that Hayes does not teach tracking and
25	disablement. Hayes is relied on for the fact that while they teach a purchase,
26	they also teach prior to purchase a preview period. The preview period,

1 however, while the Examiner equates it to our rental, is not the same. The 2. Haves specifically teaches during a preview that the fonts are not activated. 3 So essentially it's what you think of in terms of a movie preview. It's a teaser. 4 gets you to see it and want it, so you purchase it. This is not what our claims 5 are directed to. 6 And so based on that, we have fundamental limitations of the 7 claim that are not taught by the references, either the primary, and with regard 8 to the secondary, they're applied simply to dependent claims and go to the 9 encryption step or the debiting account step. So they do not go to meet the 10 deficiencies of the primary references. 11 And on that basis, I would say that it doesn't meet Section 103. 12 It is not taught, which the Examiner acknowledges. It's not suggested on the 13 basis that the element that the Examiner is relying on for the suggestion is 14 different from what we're teaching. 15 JUDGE BARRY: That's short and sweet. Any questions? 16 JUDGE LUCAS: Okay. Ms. Breiner, in Ying, column 30, line 17 29, there is mention that there are a number of limitations on the right to use 18 in the license for the fonts, and one of the limitations mentioned that the 19 Examiner has pointed out is on line 29, where the limitation on the length of 20 time for which the fonts can be used. Okay? 21 The Examiner has said this limitation is right in the license in 22 Ying, and he has added to that an enforcement mechanism. The enforcement 23 mechanism, the Examiner has pointed out, comes from Hayes. Hayes allows 24 people to sample their fonts. And then in column 8 of Haves it mentions that 25 a font for sale may be sent with time out information, thus allowing the user 26 to sample a font for a period of time -- I'm sorry -- with time out information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thus allowing the user to sample the font for a period of time, and when the time expires, disabling the font. The Examiner has taken this enforcement mechanism from Haves for fonts and added to Ying. Now, that seems contrary to what you just alleged. How do you explain this? MS. BREINER: Well, the item saving the Examiner is reading into Hayes, Hayes specifically states at column 10, lines 39 and 40, that during the preview the font is not activated. If it is not activated, you cannot be using it, so you are not sampling it. You are literally seeing it. You can see the feel of it, the design of it, but you cannot use it. What a rental is is you have the right to possess it and use it based on a fixed payment, so based on our claims, the limitations required for the set-up of subscription information, they have a defined period of time and a defined access. And they can actually use it for this time period in order to get a desired job done. That's the whole purpose of our system, is to allow a user to have access to a specific font that they do not have in their system. They can have it for a limited time period, for a limited cost, and they do not have to worry about storing this font. It's oftentimes a font may be used in order to access something was sent to you so that you can read it properly. This is the missing font problem where such as the Word programs will automatically replace one, but it's not the same symbol, so you'll lose the meaning. So in this case a rental versus a preview, where you are not able to activate it or not able to use it, it doesn't matter that it's after a time period pulled off in order to entice you to buy it, because you haven't been able to use it.

Appeal 2009-009743 Application 10/621,275

1	So in that sense it is not the same as a rental. So it does not have
2	the suggestion and does not make up for the teaching in Ying that they are
3	teaching, simply, an outright sale. They are allowing you to buy it. It's yours
4	That's not what a rental is.
5	JUDGE LUCAS: I see your point. Thank you for explaining
6	that point of view.
7	JUDGE BARRY: Anything further?
8	JUDGE LUCAS: No.
9	JUDGE BARRY: Thank you for your time.
10	MS. BREINER: Okay. Thank you for your time.
11	JUDGE BARRY: And we're off the record.
12	The hearing was concluded at 9:11 a.m.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	